UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

KENT A. TINGSTAD,

WCCC Case No. 17-002768-NO

Plaintiff,

Case No. 17 - 11167

v.

Hon.

GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER TOM PELACCIO and GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER SHAWN ADAMS, Jointly and Severally,

Defendants.

MICHAEL S. CAFFERTY (P36613) Attorney for Plaintiff 333 West Fort Street, Ste. 1400 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 964-3070 mcaffe@aol.com JOHNSON, ROSATI, SCHULTZ & JOPPICH, P.C. By: MICHAEL E. ROSATI (P34236) Attorney for Defendants 27555 Executive Drive, Suite 250 Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3550 (248) 489-4100/FAX (248) 489-1726 mrosati@jrsjlaw.com

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION

Defendants, GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER TOM PELACCIO and GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER SHAWN ADAMS, through their attorneys, JOHNSON, ROSATI, SCHULTZ & JOPPICH, P.C., by Michael E. Rosati, state the following in support of this Notice of Removal of action:

- 1. Defendants were served with a copy of the attached Summons and Complaint on or about March 27, 2017, and this Notice is filed with this Court within (30) days after service on these Defendants of the summons and Complaint in the above-entitled action.. (Ex. 1).
- 2. Plaintiff's Complaint, filed in the Wayne County Circuit Court, alleges an action under 42 USC § 1983 and 1985, and further claims a violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 3. Plaintiff's allegations, involving claims arising under the constitution and laws of the United States, are within the original jurisdiction of this United States District Court.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 USC § 1331 and 28 USC § 1441, Defendants have a right to remove this case to federal court, and removal of this action from the Circuit Court for the County of Wayne of the State of Michigan to the United States District Court is proper.
- 5. All Defendants are being represented by the undersigned counsel and concur in the filing of this Petition.
- 6. Promptly after the filing of this Notice of Removal, Defendants shall provide written notice to Plaintiff and the clerk of the Wayne County Circuit Court as required by 28 USC §1446.

Defendants, GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER TOM PELACCIO and GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER SHAWN ADAMS, request that this Honorable Court grant this Notice for Removal of Action.

JOHNSON, ROSATI, SCHULTZ & JOPPICH, P.C.

s/MICHAEL E. ROSATI

Attorneys for Defendants 27555 Executive Drive, Suite 250 Farmington Hills, Michigan 48331-3550 mrosati@jrsjlaw.com (248) 489-4100

Dated: April 13, 2017 (P 34236)

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION

Defendants, GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER TOM PELACCIO and GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER SHAWN ADAMS, through their attorneys, JOHNSON, ROSATI, SCHULTZ & JOPPICH, P.C., by Michael E. Rosati, rely upon 28 U.S.C. §1331, §1441 and §1446 in support of this Notice of Removal of Action.

JOHNSON, ROSATI, SCHULTZ & JOPPICH, P.C.

s/MICHAEL E. ROSATI

Attorney for Defendants
27555 Executive Drive, Suite 250
Farmington Hills, Michigan 48331-3550
mrosati@jrsjlaw.com
(248) 489-4100
(P 34236)

Dated: April 13, 2017

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 13, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send notification of such electronic filing to all counsel of record registered electronically.

s/ SHANN P. SPENCE

EXHIBIT 1

Case 2:17-cv-11167-LJM-RSW ECF No. 1, PageID.6 Filed 04/13/17 Page 6 of 14

STATE OF MICHIGAN THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WAYNE COUNTY

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

CASE NO. 17-002768-NO Hon. Lita Masini Popke

2 Woodward Ave., Detroit MI 4822	6		Court Telephone No. 313-224-2953			
Plaintiff		· 	Defendant			
TINGSTAD, KENT A.		v .	PELACCIO, TOM			
Plaintiff's Attorney			Defendant's Attorney			
Michael S. Cafferty, P-36613						
333 W Fort St Ste 1400 Detroit, MI 48226-3149						
 You are being sued. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after or take other lawful action 	er receiving this summons to file a w	ritten answer wit e served by mail o	ple of the State of Michigan you are notified: th the court and serve a copy on the other party or you were served outside this state). (MCR 2.111[C]) be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.			
Issued 2/10/2017	This summons expires 5/12/2017	4.00	ourt clerk le & Serve Tyler			
Family Division Cases There is no other pending or members of the parties.	resolved action within the jurisdicti	on of the family di	invision of the circuit court involving the family or family ing the family or family members of the parties has Court. iber and the judge assigned to the action are: Bar no.			
General Civil Cases ☐ There is no other pending or	resolved civil action arise out of the e parties or other parties arising out	of the transaction	or occurrence as alleged in the complaint. or occurrence alleged in the complaint has Court. nber and the judge assigned to the action are:			
Docket no.	Judge		Bar no.			
VENUE						
Plaintiff(s) residence (include	city, township, or village)	Defen	ndant(s) residence (include city, township, or village)			
Place where action arose or bu	nsiness conducted					
			DICIAL			

If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.

Signature of attorney/plaintiff



Case 2:17-cv-11167-LJM-RSW ECF No. 1, PageID.7 Filed 04/13/17 Page 7 of 14

STATE OF MICHIGAN THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WAYNE COUNTY

PROOF OF SERVICE

CASE NO. 17-002768-NO

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE

	OFFICER CERTI	FICATE	OR	AFF	IDAVIT OF PROCESS	SERVER
court officer, or att	sheriff, deputy sheriff, orney for a party (MCR notarization not required)	bailiff, appointed		Being first duly sv	vorn, I state that I am a leg party or an officer of a cor (notarization required)	ally competent
I served perso	nally a copy of the sum	mons and complaint	,			
I served by reg	istered or certified mai	(copy of return rece	eipt attached) a copy	of the summons ar	nd complaint,	
	List all documents serve	d with the Summons a	nd Complaint			
						on the defendant(s):
Defendant's name		Complete address	(es) of service			Day, date, time
				·		
						٠
I have persona have been una	lly attempted to serve the to complete service.	ne summons and con	nplaint, together with	any attachments,	on the following defendan	t(s) and
Defendant's name		Complete address	(es) of service			Day, date, time
•						
	· :					
I declare that the sta	tements above are true	to the best of me inf	ormation, knowledge	and belief.		
Service fee \$	Miles traveled \$	Mileage fee \$	Total fee \$	Signature		
				Name (type	or print)	
	••			Title	*	
	orn to before me on Date		•		_ County, Michigan.	
My commission exp	Date	Signatu	re:	Notary public		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Notary public, State	of Michigan, County o	of			•	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ACKNOWL	EDGMENT OF S	ERVICE		
I acknowledge that	I have received service	of the summons and	complaint, together	. —		
	<u> </u>	(on	Attachments		
			Day, date, time			
		(on behalf of			
Signature						

Filed 04/13/17 Page 8 of 14 Case 2:17-cv-11167-LJM\RSW

THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WAYNE COUNTY

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

CASE NO. 17-002768-NO Hon. Lita Masini Popke

2 Woodward Ave., Detroit MI 48226				Court Teler	phone No. 313-224-2953
Plaintiff			Defendant		-
TINGSTAD, KENT A.		v 	ADAMS, SHAWN		
Plaintiff's Attorney	•		Defendant's Attorn	ey	
Michael S. Cafferty, P-36613					
333 W Fort St Ste 1400		· .			
Detroit, MI 48226-3149					
SUMMONS NOTICE TO T	HE DEFENDANT: In th	e name of the peo	ple of the State of Michi	gan you are notified:	
1. You are being sued.			_		
YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after rece or take other lawful action with	iving this summons to file a w	vritten answer wi	th the court and serve a	copy on the other party	11[C])
3. If you do not answer or take other	r action within the time allower	ed, judgment may	be entered against you fo	or the relief demanded in	the complaint.
Issued	This summons expires	• •	ourt clerk		
2/10/2017	5/12/2017		le & Serve Tyler		
	L		<u> </u>		
*This summons is invalid unless served of				•	
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following	llowing is information that is	required to be in	the caption of every con	ıplaint and is to be comp	oleted
by the plaintiff. Actual allegations an					
This is a business case in which all	or part of the action includes	a business or con	mercial dispute under iv	ICL 000.8033.	
Family Division Cases There is no other pending or resolution	vad action within the invictioti	on of the family o	livision of the circuit cou	urt involving the family (or family
members of the parties.	red action within the jurisdicti	on of the family c	ivision of the choun oot	nt myoring the ranning t	, administra
An action within the jurisdiction o	f the family division of the cir	cuit court involvi	ng the family or family n	nembers of the parties ha	as
been previously filed in		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Court.	•
The action remains	is no longer pending	g. The docket nur	nber and the judge assign	ned to the action are:	
Docket no.	Judge				Bar no.
			• • .•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
General Civil Cases					
There is no other pending or resolv					•
An civil action between these part	ies or other parties arising out	of the transaction	or occurrence alleged in		
been previously filed in	7	701 1 1 1	nber and the judge assign	Court.	
The action remains		g. The docker hur	nder and the Judge assign	led to the action are.	
Docket no.	Judge		.•	•	Bar no.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
VENUE		• • •			
Plaintiff(s) residence (include city, to	ownship, or village)	Defe	ndant(s) residence (inclu	ide city, township, or vil	lage)
1 familia (3) residence (merade ett); e	y minimp, or vinage,			·	
Place where action arose or business	conducted				
0 /// -		. /			TUDICIAL
6 /10/17 <					
Date / Signatu	re of attorney/plaintiff	_ /			

If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.



Case 2:17-cv-11167-LJM\RSW ECF No. 1, PageID.9 Filed 04/13/17 Page 9 of 14

STATE OF MICHIGAN THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WAYNE COUNTY

PROOF OF SERVICE

CASE NO. 17-002768-NO

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE

	<u> </u>						
	OFFICER CERTII	FICATE	OR	AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVER			
court officer, or attorney for a party (MCR 2.104[A][2]), and				Being first duly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent adult who is not a party or an officer of a corporate party, and that: (notarization required)			
I served person	ally a copy of the sum	nons and complain	,	·	• :		
I served by regi	stered or certified mail	(copy of return rec	eipt attached) a copy	y of the summons and complaint,			
	List all documents serve	d with the Summons	and Complaint	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				on the de	fendant(s):		
Defendant's name	•	Complete address	s(es) of service	Day, date, tin	ne		
•					• • •		
			•				
	ly attempted to serve the to complete service.		mplaint, together wi	ith any attachments, on the following defendant(s) and			
Defendant's name		Complete addres	s(es) of service	Day, date, ti	me		
			· :				
I declare that the sta	tements above are true	to the best of me in	nformation, knowled	lge and belief.			
Service fee \$	Miles traveled \$	Mileage fee \$	Total fee \$	Signature			
				Name (type or print)			
· .	*			Title			
Subscribed and swo	rn to before me on Da	te	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	County, Michigan.			
My commission exp	oires:	Signa		100	 		
Notary public, State	Date of Michigan, County	of	Deputy court cle	erk/Notary public			
		ACKNOW	LEDGMENT OF	FSERVICE	, .		
I acknowledge that I	I have received service	of the summons a	nd complaint, togeth				
			on	Attachments			
			Day, date, time				
Signature			on behalf of		· · · ·		

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

KENT A. TINGSTAD,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 2017

NO

GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER TOM PELACCIO and GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER SHAWN ADAMS, Jointly & Severally,

17-002768-NO FILED IN MY OFFICE WAYNE COUNTY CLERK 2/10/2017 11:05:59 AM CATHY M. GARRETT

Defendants.

MICHAEL S. CAFFERTY P36613 Attorney for Plaintiff 333 West Fort Street, Ste 1400 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 964-3070 mcaffe@aol.com

COMPLAINT

There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the Complaint.

/s/Michael S. Cafferty

Now comes Plaintiff, through counsel, and for his Complaint states as follows:

- That Plaintiff, KENT A. TINGSTAD, is a resident of the County of Wayne,

 State of Michigan.
 - 2. That Defendants, GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER TOM PELACCIO and

RECEIVED

MAR 3 1 2017

MMRMA
Claims Dept.

GARDEN CITY POLICE OFFICER SHAWN ADAMS (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") are, upon information and belief, residents of the County of Wayne, State of Michigan.

- 3. That the acts or omissions giving rise to this case occurred within the County of Wayne, State of Michigan, thus making venue proper in this Court pursuant to the Revised Judicature Act, as amended.
- 4. That the amount in controversy in this action exceeds this Court's jurisdictional requirement of \$25,000.00, exclusive of costs, interest and attorney fees, as set forth in the Revised Judicature Act, as amended.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 5. That the Plaintiff was a resident on or about March 10, 2014 by the Defendant police officers.
- 6. That the offense for which the Plaintiff was arrested involved operating a motor vehicle while intoxicated.
 - 7. That after being stopped by the Defendants, the Plaintiff exited his vehicle.
- 8. That after exiting his vehicle, the Plaintiff was subjected to field sobriety tests by the Defendants.
 - 9. That the Plaintiff complied with the request to perform field sobriety tests.
- 10. That after conducting field sobriety tests, the Defendants made the decision to arrest the Plaintiff.
- 11. That in the course of arresting the Plaintiff, the Defendants used physical force
 - 12. That the amount of physical force used by the Defendants was clearly in

excess of that degree of force, which was required under the circumstances.

- 13. That the Defendants, through the excessive use of force, caused injuries to the Plaintiff's face, lips, head, arms, back and other parts of Plaintiff's body.
- 14. That the force used by the Defendants included, but was not limited to unnecessarily slamming the Plaintiff on the ground/pavement and unnecessarily slamming the Plaintiff onto the hood of a vehicle.
- 15. That the Defendants also intentionally handcuffed the Plaintiff in such a manner as to cause pain and injury to the Plaintiff's wrists.

COUNT I - VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - EXCESSIVE FORCE

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 17. That at all pertinent times the Defendants were acting under color of law as duly authorized police officers or officials.
- 18. That while acting under color of state law, the Defendants violated the Plaintiff's clearly established constitutional rights, including, but not limited to Plaintiff's rights guaranteed by the 4th, 5th and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution, including the right to be free from the use of excessive force during arrests.
- 19. That the Defendants violated Plaintiff's 4th Amendment rights by engaging in an unreasonable search and/or seizure of the Plaintiff, including using grossly unnecessary and excessive force in carrying out the arrest of the Plaintiff and, thereafter, intentionally harming the Plaintiff via intentionally tightening the handcuffs on the Plaintiff in such a manner as to cause the Plaintiff pain and injury.
 - 20. That the Defendants' actions vis-à-vis the Plaintiff were undertaken with at

least a reckless disregard for the Plaintiff's federally protected rights, including the Plaintiff's right to be free from the use of excessive force.

- 21. That as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' violation of the Plaintiff's constitutional rights, including his 4th Amendment rights against unreasonable searches and seizures, the Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages, including, but not limited to physical injuries, pain and suffering, shock, humiliation, mortification and other damages.
- 22. That in addition to compensatory damages, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages as a result of the Defendant's intentional and/or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's federally protected rights.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court enter a judgment in his favor against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in whatever amount this Court finds to constitute full, fair and just compensation, together with a separate award of punitive damages, in addition to costs, interest and attorney fees where permitted by law, including 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

COUNT II - VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1985

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 24. That upon information and belief, the Defendants consorted and conspired amongst themselves to deprive Plaintiff of his federally protected rights, including his right protected by the 4th, 5th and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution.
 - 25. That upon information and belief, the Defendants were, at all relevant times,

acting in concert with one another and pursuant to a common plan or scheme to deprive the Plaintiff of his federally protected rights.

- 26. That the Defendants' conduct is actionable under 42 U.S.C. § 1985, which protects individuals from conspiracies to violate their civil rights.
- 27. That as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conspiracy to violate Plaintiff's civil rights, the Plaintiff has and will continue to suffer damages as previously alleged.
- 28. That the Defendants' conduct in conspiring to violate the Plaintiff's civil rights was undertaken with an intentional or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's federally protected rights, thus entitling Plaintiff to a separate award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court enter a judgment in his favor against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in whatever amount this Court finds to constitute full, fair and just compensation, together with a separate award of punitive damages, in addition to costs, interest and attorney fees where permitted by law, including 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Michael S. Cafferty
MICHAEL S. CAFFERTY
P36613
Attorney for Plaintiff
333 West Fort St Ste 1400
Detroit, MI 48226
mcaffe@aol.com

DATED: February 10, 2017